

Clinical Policy: Sacroiliac Joint Fusion

Reference Number: CP.MP.126

Date of Last Revision: 05/24

[Coding Implications](#)

[Revision Log](#)

See [Important Reminder](#) at the end of this policy for important regulatory and legal information.

Description

Sacroiliac joint (SIJ) fusion, or arthrodesis, is a surgical technique that fuses the iliac bone to the sacrum for stabilization. This procedure may be performed in a minimally invasive manner or as an open surgical procedure requiring a larger incision and subsequent increased recovery time.

Policy/Criteria

- I. It is the policy of health plans affiliated with Centene Corporation® that open sacroiliac joint fusion is **medically necessary** for any of the following indications:
 - A. Stabilization of a traumatic, severe disruption, or fracture of the pelvic ring;
 - B. As an adjunct to sacrectomy or partial sacrectomy for the treatment of sacral tumors;
 - C. As an adjunct to the medical treatment of sacroiliac joint infection or sepsis (e.g., osteomyelitis, pyogenic sacroiliitis);
 - D. During multi-segment spinal constructs (e.g., correction of spinal deformity in scoliosis or kyphosis surgery, extending to the ilium).

- II. It is the policy of health plans affiliated with Centene Corporation that minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion is **medically necessary** for the treatment of low back/buttock pain when meeting all of the following:
 - A. Failure of at least six consecutive months of conservative treatment that includes all of the following:
 1. Medication optimization (unless contraindicated);
 2. Activity modification;
 3. At least four to six weeks of active therapeutic exercise targeted at the lumbar spine, pelvis, sacroiliac joint (SIJ) and hip, including a home exercise program or documentation of member/enrollee's inability to tolerate;
 - B. Non-radiating, typically unilateral pain that is caudal to the lumbar spine (L5 vertebrae), localized over the posterior SIJ, and consistent with SIJ pain, that interferes with activities of daily living (ADLs);
 - C. Localized tenderness with palpation of the posterior SIJ (sacral sulcus) in the absence of tenderness of similar severity elsewhere (e.g., greater trochanter, lumbar spine, coccyx) and other obvious sources of pain do not exist;
 - D. Positive response to at least three provocative tests (thigh thrust, compression, sacral thrust, distraction, Gaenslen's, Patrick's test/FABER test);
 - E. Absence of generalized pain behavior (e.g., somatoform disorder) or generalized pain disorders (e.g., fibromyalgia);
 - F. Recent (within six months) diagnostic imaging studies that include all of the following:
 1. Plain radiographs and CT or MRI of the SI joint that excludes the presence of destructive lesions (e.g., tumor, infection), fracture, traumatic SIJ instability, or inflammatory arthropathy;

CLINICAL POLICY

Sacroiliac Joint Fusion

2. Plain radiographs of the pelvis to exclude the presence of concomitant hip pathology;
 3. CT or MRI of the lumbar spine that excludes neural compression or other degenerative conditions that can cause low back or buttock pain.
- G. At least 75% reduction in pain for the expected duration of the anesthetic used following an image guided, contrast-enhanced intra-articular (diagnostic) SIJ injection on two separate occasions;
- H. A failure of at least one therapeutic intra-articular SIJ injection (i.e., corticosteroid injection), or a therapeutic injection is contraindicated;
- I. Procedure will be performed using the lateral transarticular approach.

III. It is the policy of health plans affiliated with Centene Corporation that the long-term safety and effectiveness of sacroiliac joint fusion procedures, either open or minimally invasive has not been proven for all other indications, including but not limited to, treatment of mechanical or axial low back pain, radicular pain syndromes, sacral insufficiency fractures, and pelvic girdle pain, due to limited clinical evidence.

IV. It is the policy of health plans affiliated with Centene Corporation that current evidence does not support sacroiliac joint fusion using implants other than those which are placed across the joint (transfixing) to promote fusion (e.g., allograft, nonmetallic implants).

Background

Low back pain affects approximately 84% of adults during their lives with the sacroiliac joint being the source of chronic low back pain in approximately 15% to 30% of patients.^{1,2,3,4} When the sacroiliac joint is the source of this pain, and all appropriate conservative measures fail to relieve symptoms of trauma associated with fracture, infection/sepsis, tumors involving the sacrum, cancer, or spinal instability, treatment options may include fusion of this joint or implantation of devices that stabilize this joint with minimally invasive surgery. To stabilize the sacroiliac joint, the iliac crest bone and the sacrum are held together by plates and/or screws or an interbody fusion cage, until the two bones fuse.¹

There are a number of FDA-approved implants that have been proposed for sacroiliac joint disorders, but the majority of clinical trials and studies have been done on the iFuse implant system. This was initially called the SI Joint Fusion and received the original 510(k) clearance from the Food and Drug Administration in November 2008 for fracture fixation of long bones, large bone fragments of the pelvis and for conditions including sacroiliac joint disruptions and degenerative sacroiliitis. Additional FDA clearances were given on April 21, 2011, and on April 17, 2015. The iFuse system involves the fluoroscopically guided insertion of titanium implants across the sacroiliac joint. Under general anesthesia, a two to three centimeter incision is created, and after determining the appropriate size of the implant, a cannulated delivery system is used to insert the implants into the proper position. While the number varies, most patients receive three implants to stabilize the joint.^{5,6}

Whang and Polly completed two randomized controlled trials with a six month and one year follow up, respectively, on sacroiliac joint fusion using iFuse versus non-surgical management. The iFuse led to better outcomes and similar safety compared with nonsurgical management, and to better operative outcomes and at least comparable efficacy compared with open surgery.

CLINICAL POLICY

Sacroiliac Joint Fusion

However, uncertainty remains due to the lack of longer-term efficacy and safety follow-up with radiologic confirmation, and to the lack of comparisons with other minimally invasive approaches.^{7,8} There is additional evidence suggesting sacroiliac joint fusion with iFuse improves pain, enhances health-related quality of life, and decreases disability compared to non-surgical management.^{9,10,11}

The percutaneous placement of an intraarticular stabilization device into the sacroiliac joint (SIJ) differs from the established percutaneous arthrodesis of the SIJ with placement of a transfixing device. Examples of SIJ stabilization devices that do not involve transfixation are CornerLoc[™], TransFasten[®], and LinQ[™]. These allograft devices are placed directly to the SIJ via posterior approaches, therefore, and do not involve drilling through the ilium to the sacrum or insertion of hardware. Minimally invasive SIJ arthrodesis involves the placement of screws, cages, or allograft dowels percutaneously using lateral transarticular (i.e., through the ilium to the sacrum) or posterior approaches. Implantation of SIJ fusion devices via a posterior approach is less invasive and potentially safer than the lateral approach since neurovascular structures are avoided. Although there is preliminary evidence that supports pain reduction with minimum complications using the posterior approach, current medical literature supports the lateral approach. There is a paucity of evidence to support the posterior and posterior lateral oblique approach.^{12,13}

The sacroiliac joint remains a controversial source of primary low back pain, and surgery is rarely performed for sacroiliac joint dysfunction.² Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion is becoming a more prevalent treatment for chronic refractory low back pain isolated to the sacroiliac joint with the development of various fusion devices over the past ten years. Additional randomized, controlled trials or comparison studies are needed to investigate different aspects of each device to identify unique features that may be of clinical benefit, as well as determine the impact on health outcomes and long-term efficacy and safety.^{2,14}

International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery (ISASS)

The ISASS outlines eligibility criteria and contraindications relative to minimally invasive surgical sacroiliac joint fusion (MIS SIJF), but does not endorse any specific MIS SIJ system.^{3,12} A meta-analysis was conducted, and the results for patients following MIS SIJF demonstrated steadily and considerably lower SIJ pain scores and ODI (Oswestry Disability Index) scores when compared to baseline scores. Evidence from two random controlled trials and five multicenter prospective studies specifically demonstrated pain relief, disability reduction and improvement in QOL (quality of life) were significantly higher in patients treated with MIS SIJF when compared to nonsurgically treated patients. The ISASS concludes that MIS SIJF is “a recognized safe, predictable, and preferred surgical method for the management of intractable, debilitating primary or secondary SIJ pain disorders.”³ The ISASS noted a scarce amount of published literature on minimally invasive SIJ fusion using a posterior approach. The society concluded that the instrumentation utilized in a MIS SIJ procedure is the surgeon’s preference.¹²

North American Spine Society (NASS)

In 2021 NASS updated their coverage recommendations for minimally invasive SIJ fusion.¹⁵ NASS recommends percutaneous sacroiliac joint (SIJ) fusion for the treatment of sacroiliac joint pain for patients with low back/buttock pain who meet specific criteria.¹⁶

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

NICE recommends minimally invasive sacroiliac (SI) joint fusion surgery for treatment of chronic SI pain in patients with a confirmed diagnosis of unilateral or bilateral SI joint dysfunction due to degenerative sacroiliitis or SI joint disruption.¹⁰ The committee indicates that this procedure stabilizes the joint, but fusion of the joint does not happen in many cases.¹⁷ The NICE guidelines only describe the lateral transarticular approach.¹² Additionally, NICE recommends iFuse implant system as an option for treating chronic sacroiliac joint pain for patients with a confirmed diagnosis of chronic sacroiliac joint pain that is inadequately controlled by non-surgical management.¹⁰ The confirmed diagnosis should be based on a clinical assessment and a positive response to a diagnostic injection of local anesthetic in the sacroiliac joint.¹⁰

Tobacco cessation is recommended to improve the outcome of spinal fusion surgery. The success of fusion surgery is determined by the ability of the joints to heal into a solid unit; however, the fusion rate of smokers is significantly lower than non-smokers.^{18,19} Smoking increases the rate of perioperative complications, especially pseudoarthrosis; therefore, smoking cessation for four weeks following surgery is recommended to reduce risks.^{18,20} One study of patients undergoing spinal fusions in the lower back demonstrated an 80-85% success rate for non-smokers or patients who quit smoking following surgery, and < 73% success rate for smokers.¹⁹

Coding Implications

This clinical policy references Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®). CPT® is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association. All CPT codes and descriptions are copyrighted 2023, American Medical Association. All rights reserved. CPT codes and CPT descriptions are from the current manuals and those included herein are not intended to be all-inclusive and are included for informational purposes only. Codes referenced in this clinical policy are for informational purposes only. Inclusion or exclusion of any codes does not guarantee coverage. Providers should reference the most up-to-date sources of professional coding guidance prior to the submission of claims for reimbursement of covered services.

Codes that support coverage criteria

CPT® Codes	Description
27279	Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint, percutaneous or minimally invasive (indirect visualization), with image guidance, includes obtaining bone graft when performed, and placement of transfixing device
27280	Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint, open, includes obtaining bone graft, including instrumentation, when performed

Codes that do not support coverage criteria

CPT® Codes	Description
0775T	Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint, percutaneous, with image guidance, includes placement of intra-articular implant(s) (eg, bone allograft[s], synthetic device[s])

CLINICAL POLICY
Sacroiliac Joint Fusion

CPT® Codes	Description
27278	Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint, percutaneous, with image guidance, including placement of intra-articular implant(s) (eg, bone allograft[s], synthetic device[s]), without placement of transfixation device.

Reviews, Revisions, and Approvals	Revision Date	Approval Date
New policy developed based off of Health Net policy NMP536 Sacroiliac Joint Fusion.	09/16	09/16
Annual review completed. References reviewed and updated. Changed ICD-10 code M53.2X7 to M53.2X6. Corrected numbering in Reference Section and applicable footnotes. Added clarification to section II., “that sacroiliac joint fusion procedures, either open or minimally invasive (e.g., iFuse), are investigational for all other indications, including but not limited to, treating treatment of.....”	05/20	06/20
Annual review complete. References reviewed, updated and reformatted. Replaced all instances of member with member/enrollee. Background updated. Section I updated to indicate criteria specific to open SIJ fusion. New criteria added for section II, specific to minimally invasive SIJ fusion. Updated section III “experimental/investigational” verbiage: replaced with “long-term safety and effectiveness has not been proven” and removed reference to iFUSE and sacroiliac joint examples. Reviewed by specialist. Changed “review date” in the header to “last revision date; changed “date” in the revision log header to “revision date.”	06/21	06/21
Annual review completed. Added “at least four to six weeks” to II.A.3. and added option for inability to tolerate exercise program. Section II.F.1 updated to include “fracture, traumatic SIJ instability”. Background updated with information regarding smoking cessation. References reviewed and updated.	06/22	06/22
Annual review completed. Added Criteria II.I. describing procedure approach. Added criteria IV. to address sacroiliac fusion using implants other than those which are placed across the joint (transfixing) to promote fusion. Additional minor rewording with no clinical significance. Background updated. Created tables to convey codes that do/do not support coverage criteria. Added new CPT code 0775T to table that does not support coverage criteria. ICD-10 code table removed. References reviewed and updated. External specialist reviewed.	06/23	06/23
Annual review. Minor rewording in Criteria I.B. and Criteria I.D. Removed osteopathic or chiropractic manipulation from Criteria II.A.3. Added (sacral sulcus) to criteria II.C. Added clarifying verbiage to Criteria II.B. Updated Criteria II.D. to include a positive response to at least three provocative tests. Added clarifying language to Criteria II.F.2. Removed “at least two weeks apart” in Criteria II.G. regarding image guided, contrast-enhanced intra-articular (diagnostic) SIJ injection on	05/24	05/24

Reviews, Revisions, and Approvals	Revision Date	Approval Date
two separate occasions. Added code 27278 to table of codes that do not support coverage. Background updated with no impact on criteria. References reviewed and updated.		

References

1. Ledonio CG, Polly DW Jr, Swiontkowski MF. Minimally invasive versus open sacroiliac joint fusion: are they similarly safe and effective?. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2014;472(6):1831-1838. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3499-8
2. Wheeler SG, Wipf JE, Staiger TO, Deyo RA, Jarvik JG. Evaluation of low back pain in adults. UpToDate. www.uptodate.com. Updated May 26, 2022. Accessed April 25, 2024.
3. Lorio M, Kube R, Araghi A. International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery Policy 2020 Update-Minimally Invasive Surgical Sacroiliac Joint Fusion (for Chronic Sacroiliac Joint Pain): Coverage Indications, Limitations, and Medical Necessity. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2020;14(6):860 to 895. doi:10.14444/7156
4. Martin CT, Haase L, Lender PA, Polly DW. Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion: The Current Evidence. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2020;14(Suppl 1):20-29. Published 2020 Feb 10. doi:10.14444/6072
5. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 510(k) Premarket Notification Database. iFuse SI Fusion System. No. K110838. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm?ID=K110838>. Published April 21, 2011. Accessed April 18, 2024.
6. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 510(k) Premarket Notification Database. SI-BONE iFuse Implant System. No. K150714. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm?ID=K150714>. Published April 17, 2015 (updated April 22, 2024). Accessed April 23, 2024.
7. Polly DW, Cher DJ, Wine KD, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion Using Triangular Titanium Implants vs Nonsurgical Management for Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction: 12-Month Outcomes. *Neurosurgery.* 2015;77(5):674-691. doi:10.1227/NEU.0000000000000988
8. Polly DW, Swofford J, Whang PG, et al. Two-Year Outcomes from a Randomized Controlled Trial of Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion vs. Non-Surgical Management for Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2016;10:28. Published 2016 Aug 23. doi:10.14444/3028
9. Health Technology Assessment. Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion using triangular titanium implants (iFuse Implant System, SI-Bone Inc.). Hayes. www.hayesinc.com. Published September 03, 2020 (annual review August 29, 2023). Accessed April 18, 2024.
10. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). iFuse for treating chronic sacroiliac joint pain. Medical technologies guidance [MTG39]. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/mtg39/chapter/1-Recommendations>. Published October 02, 2018 (updated August 30, 2022). Accessed April 18, 2024.
11. Chang E, Rains C, Ali R, Wines RC, Kahwati LC. Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion for chronic sacroiliac joint pain: a systematic review. *Spine J.* 2022;22(8):1240 to 1253. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2022.01.005

12. Medical Code Brief. 0775T – Category III (T codes). Hayes. www.hayesinc.com. Published July 20, 2022. Accessed April 18, 2024.
13. Lee DW, Patterson DG, Sayed D. Review of Current Evidence for Minimally Invasive Posterior Sacroiliac Joint Fusion. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2021;15(3):514-524. doi:10.14444/8073
14. Himstead AS, Brown NJ, Shahrestani S, Tran K, Davies JL, Oh M. Trends in Diagnosis and Treatment of Sacroiliac Joint Pathology Over the Past 10 Years: Review of Scientific Evidence for New Devices for Sacroiliac Joint Fusion. *Cureus*. 2021;13(6):e15415. Published 2021 Jun 3. doi:10.7759/cureus.15415
15. North American Spine Society. NASS coverage policy recommendations: Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion. <https://www.spine.org>. Published September 2021. Accessed April 24, 2024.
16. North American Spine Society (NASS). Evidence-based clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care: diagnosis and treatment of low back pain. <https://www.spine.org/Portals/0/assets/downloads/ResearchClinicalCare/Guidelines/LowBackPain.pdf>. Published 2020. Updated January 27, 2021. Accessed April 12, 2024.
17. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion surgery for chronic sacroiliac pain. Interventional procedures guidance [IPG578]. Published April 05, 2017. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg578/chapter/1-Recommendations>. Accessed April 24, 2024.
18. Li Y, Zheng LM, Zhang ZW, He CJ. The Effect of Smoking on the Fusion Rate of Spinal Fusion Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *World Neurosurg*. 2021;154:e222-e235. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2021.07.011
19. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Surgery and smoking: Research on smoking and orthopaedic procedures. Updated April 2019. <https://www.orthoinfo.org/en/treatment/surgery-and-smoking/>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
20. Berman D, Oren JH, Bendo J, Spivak J. The Effect of Smoking on Spinal Fusion. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2017;11(4):29. Published 2017 Nov 28. doi:10.14444/4029
21. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK, et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34(10):1066-1077. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181a1390d
22. SI-BONE, Inc. Announces Medicare Palmetto Removes MIS SI Joint Fusion from Non-Coverage. Published February 25, 2014. <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/si-bone-inc-announces-medicare-palmetto-removes-mis-si-joint-fusion-from-non-coverage-247035941.html> Accessed April 26, 2024.
23. Matias CM, Velagapudi L, Montenegro TS, Heller JE. Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Fusion- a Review. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2022;26(3):173-182. doi:10.1007/s11916-022-01016-y
24. Vanaclocha V, Herrera JM, Sáiz-Sapena N, Rivera-Paz M, Verdú-López F. Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion, Radiofrequency Denervation, and Conservative Management for Sacroiliac Joint Pain: 6-Year Comparative Case Series. *Neurosurgery*. 2018;82(1):48-55. doi:10.1093/neuros/nyx185
25. Whang P, Cher D, Polly D, et al. Sacroiliac Joint Fusion Using Triangular Titanium Implants vs. Non-Surgical Management: Six-Month Outcomes from a Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2015;9:6. Published 2015 Mar 5. doi:10.14444/2006

CLINICAL POLICY

Sacroiliac Joint Fusion

26. Zaidi HA, Montoure AJ, Dickman CA. Surgical and clinical efficacy of sacroiliac joint fusion: a systematic review of the literature. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2015 Jul;23(1):59 to 66. doi: 10.3171/2014.10.SPINE14516
27. Bornemann R, Roessler PP, Strauss AC, et al. Two-year clinical results of patients with sacroiliac joint syndrome treated by arthrodesis using a triangular implant system. *Technol Health Care*. 2017;25(2):319-325. doi:10.3233/THC-161272
28. Local coverage determination: minimally-invasive surgical (MIS) fusion of the sacroiliac (SI) joint (L36494). Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. <http://www.cms.hhs.gov/mcd/search.asp>. Published February 01, 2016 (revised January 04, 2024). Accessed April 18, 2024.
29. Heiney J, Capobianco R, Cher D. A systematic review of minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion utilizing a lateral transarticular technique. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2015;9:40. Published 2015 Jul 22. doi:10.14444/2040
30. Tran ZV, Ivashchenko A, Brooks L. Sacroiliac Joint Fusion Methodology - Minimally Invasive Compared to Screw-Type Surgeries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pain Physician*. 2019;22(1):29-40.
31. Medical Code Brief. 27278 – Category I. Hayes. www.hayesinc.com. Published September 18, 2023. Accessed April 26, 2024.
32. North American Spine Society. NASS coverage policy recommendations: Sacroiliac joint injections and radiofrequency ablation. <https://www.spine.org>. Published October 2020. Accessed April 25, 2024.

Important Reminder

This clinical policy has been developed by appropriately experienced and licensed health care professionals based on a review and consideration of currently available generally accepted standards of medical practice; peer-reviewed medical literature; government agency/program approval status; evidence-based guidelines and positions of leading national health professional organizations; views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas affected by this clinical policy; and other available clinical information. The Health Plan makes no representations and accepts no liability with respect to the content of any external information used or relied upon in developing this clinical policy. This clinical policy is consistent with standards of medical practice current at the time that this clinical policy was approved. “Health Plan” means a health plan that has adopted this clinical policy and that is operated or administered, in whole or in part, by Centene Management Company, LLC, or any of such health plan’s affiliates, as applicable.

The purpose of this clinical policy is to provide a guide to medical necessity, which is a component of the guidelines used to assist in making coverage decisions and administering benefits. It does not constitute a contract or guarantee regarding payment or results. Coverage decisions and the administration of benefits are subject to all terms, conditions, exclusions and limitations of the coverage documents (e.g., evidence of coverage, certificate of coverage, policy, contract of insurance, etc.), as well as to state and federal requirements and applicable Health Plan-level administrative policies and procedures.

This clinical policy is effective as of the date determined by the Health Plan. The date of posting may not be the effective date of this clinical policy. This clinical policy may be subject to applicable legal and regulatory requirements relating to provider notification. If there is a

CLINICAL POLICY

Sacroiliac Joint Fusion

discrepancy between the effective date of this clinical policy and any applicable legal or regulatory requirement, the requirements of law and regulation shall govern. The Health Plan retains the right to change, amend or withdraw this clinical policy, and additional clinical policies may be developed and adopted as needed, at any time.

This clinical policy does not constitute medical advice, medical treatment or medical care. It is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Providers are expected to exercise professional medical judgment in providing the most appropriate care, and are solely responsible for the medical advice and treatment of members/enrollees. This clinical policy is not intended to recommend treatment for members/enrollees. Members/enrollees should consult with their treating physician in connection with diagnosis and treatment decisions.

Providers referred to in this clinical policy are independent contractors who exercise independent judgment and over whom the Health Plan has no control or right of control. Providers are not agents or employees of the Health Plan.

This clinical policy is the property of the Health Plan. Unauthorized copying, use, and distribution of this clinical policy or any information contained herein are strictly prohibited. Providers, members/enrollees and their representatives are bound to the terms and conditions expressed herein through the terms of their contracts. Where no such contract exists, providers, members/enrollees and their representatives agree to be bound by such terms and conditions by providing services to members/enrollees and/or submitting claims for payment for such services.

Note: For Medicaid members/enrollees, when state Medicaid coverage provisions conflict with the coverage provisions in this clinical policy, state Medicaid coverage provisions take precedence. Please refer to the state Medicaid manual for any coverage provisions pertaining to this clinical policy.

Note: For Medicare members/enrollees, to ensure consistency with the Medicare National Coverage Determinations (NCD) and Local Coverage Determinations (LCD), all applicable NCDs, LCDs, and Medicare Coverage Articles should be reviewed prior to applying the criteria set forth in this clinical policy. Refer to the CMS website at <http://www.cms.gov> for additional information.

©2016 Centene Corporation. All rights reserved. All materials are exclusively owned by Centene Corporation and are protected by United States copyright law and international copyright law. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, modified, distributed, displayed, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, or otherwise published without the prior written permission of Centene Corporation. You may not alter or remove any trademark, copyright or other notice contained herein. Centene[®] and Centene Corporation[®] are registered trademarks exclusively owned by Centene Corporation.